

\$~5

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4273/2017 & CM No.18699/2017

SUDHIR VOHRA

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Mayank Pandey and
Ms. Payal Chandra, Advs.

versus

BISWARANJAN NAYAK & ORS

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Adv.f or
R-1 & 3
Mr. P. Venkata Ramana,
Adv. for R-2
Mr. Bhagvan Swaroop
Shukla, CGSC with
Mr. Kamaldeep, Adv. for R-
UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

26.07.2018

1. We are informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous for the reason that Council of Architecture - respondent no.3 has nominated a person other than the respondent no.1 as a nominee on the Board of Governors under Section 13(2)(d) of the School of Planning and Architecture Act, 2014.

2. In view thereof, this writ petition and the pending

application are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, we leave the question of law raised in the present matter with regard to the jurisdiction of a president to nominate himself on the Board of the Council of Architecture under Section 13(2) (d) of the School of Planning and Architecture Act, 2014.

Dasti.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

JULY 26, 2018/kr