

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Order: April 04, 2018

+ **W.P.(C) 8296/2016 & CM 34333/2016**

SUSHIL HARRISONPetitioner

Through: Mr. P.C. Jha, Advocate

versus

THE PRINCIPAL, ST. STEPHEN COLLEGE & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate for
respondent No. 1

Mr. Santosh Kumar and Mr. Abhinav Sharma,
Advocates for respondent No. 2

Ms. Isha Mital and Mr. Apoorv Kurup,
Advocates for respondent No. 3-UGC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that since respondent-College has already made appointment on the post of Library Attendant, therefore, this petition is not pressed. However, a prayer is made that petitioner may be permitted to continue as Office Attendant on contract basis in the respondent-College.
2. Learned counsel for respondent-College submits that petitioner's employment as Office Attendant on contract basis is not being ended.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for petitioner that regarding non-payment of increment, a Representation would be made to the Principal

of respondent-College within six weeks from today. If such a Representation is received by the Principal of respondent-College, then it be effectively dealt with expeditiously.

4. With aforesaid observations, this petition and application are disposed of as not pressed.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

APRIL 04, 2018

s

