

\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 571/2018

DR SAMRENDRA KANT PATHAK

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Santosh Kumar and Mr.Rajiv Ranjan
Mishra, Advocates.

versus

M/S UNITED NEWS OF INDIA (UNI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Ankur Chhibbar, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

08.10.2018

CM No.41615/2018 (exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CM No.41617/2018 (delay)

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 15 days in re-filing the appeal.

Notice. Counsel for the non-applicant accepts notice. The prayer made in this application is not opposed. Accordingly, the delay of 15 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

CM No.41616/2018 (delay)

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 52 days in filing the appeal.

Notice. Counsel for the non-applicant accepts notice. The prayer made in this application is not opposed. Accordingly, the delay of 52 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

CM No.41614/2018 (stay)

Learned counsel for the appellant does not press this application. Accordingly, the application is dismissed as not pressed.

LPA 571/2018

Mr.Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant submits that in paragraph 13 of the impugned order, the learned Single Judge has remanded the matter back to the Authority under the Delhi Shops and Establishments Act, 1954, whereas it is an admitted position that a sum of Rs.1,57,263/- is payable by the respondents to the appellant for the period February 2012 to 13th September, 2012. He submits that he would file a review of the impugned order. It is open for the appellant to file the review which shall be considered by the learned Single Judge, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, LPA is disposed of accordingly.

G.S.SISTANI, J

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

OCTOBER 08, 2018
afa/