$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(CRL) 3348/2018

RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Prakash Verma, Adv.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, ASC with SI Pushpendra PS B.H. Rao.
Mr. Sumit Purkayastha, Adv. for Mr. Naveej Raheja, Adv. for R-6/DDA.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

O R D E R

% 13.12.2018

Crl.M.A. 35400/2018

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

Crl.M.A. 35401/2018

For the reasons stated in the application delay of 48 days in refiling is condoned.

Application is disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3348/2018

Notice. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State accepts notice. He has handed-over the status report which is taken on record.

As per the status report the complaint was lodged at PS Bara Hindu Rao, however execution of all the documents relating to the deal of property

registration and agreement took place in the premises of Tis Hazari Court which falls within the jurisdiction of PS Sabzi Mandi and not in the jurisdiction of PS Bara Hindu Rao and the property is situated in Rohini, hence, complainant may approach the concerned Police Station i.e. PS Sabzi Mandi.

Since petitioner has an alternate remedy of filing a complaint case before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, this Court finds no ground to entertain the petition.

Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take remedies as available in law.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 13, 2018
'ga'