

\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CM(M) 37/2013

NDMC THROUGH EDUCATION OFFICER Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek B. Saharya, Adv.

Versus

ARYA DHARAM SEVA SANGH

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanat Kumar, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Sanjay Sharma & Mr. Vinayak Batta,
Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

11.05.2018

Review Petition No.204/2018.

1. The senior counsel for the respondent / review petitioner states that review is sought only to seek a clarification that the observations contained in the order dated 4th April, 2018 will not influence the decision of the Additional Rent Control Tribunal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner appears on advance notice.
3. I have in para no.25 of order dated 4th April, 2018 remanded the matter to the Additional Rent Control Tribunal for decision afresh after hearing the counsels and 'in the light of observations contained in the order dated 4th April, 2018'.
4. It is now clarified that though the Additional Rent Controller to hear and decide in the light of the observations contained in the order dated 4th April, 2018, but without being influenced by any *prima facie* observations in favour of either party, expressed in the order.
5. Review application is disposed of.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

MAY 11, 2018

'pp/gsr'..