

\$~13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1654/2015 and Crl.M.A.6012/2015

SUDHA NIMESH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Braj Bhushan Lal proxy counsel  
with petitioner in person.

versus

HARISH KUMAR NIMESH & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Akhil Sharma, Advocate with  
Ms. Isha Aggarwal, Advocate for R-  
1 along with R-1 in person.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for the  
State /R-2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA**

**ORDER**

%

**11.07.2018**

The petitioner is the wife of the first respondent. Their marriage having turned sour, a complaint case (CC No.13/2010) under Sections 12/18/19/20/21 and 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 came to be preferred by the petitioner against the first respondent in the context of which an application was made by the first respondent seeking direction to the petitioner to restore to him the custody of Maruti Swift car bearing registration No.DL-2CAG-0412, which statedly was forcibly retained by her.

The magistrate, by order dated 12.03.2015, issued the afore-said directions. The petitioner challenged the said order by an appeal (Crl. Appeal No.08/2015) in the court of sessions, but unsuccessfully as the

appeal was dismissed by order dated 01.04.2015, which is sought to be assailed by the petition at hand.

At the hearing, the petitioner, who is present in person with counsel, submitted that she is ready to restore the possession of the car to the first respondent forthwith in terms of directions of the Magistrate and, therefore, does not press the present petition and the application filed therewith.

The petition and the application filed therewith are dismissed.

**R.K.GAUBA, J.**

**JULY 11, 2018**

**vk**

*CRL.M.C. 1654/2015*

*Page 2 of 2*