

4# & 7# S~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 676/2017 and Crl. M.A. No. 2869/2017 (stay)**

DR. RAJESH J. AEREN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Siddharth Bhatli, Mr. Honey
Khanna and Mr. Rakshit Shrivastava,
Advocates.

versus

STATE & ORS.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Amit Gupta, APP for State with
Inspector Sanjeev Dhodi, EOW.
Mr. Vivek Kohli, Ms. Purna Kohli
and Ms. Yeshi Rinchhen, Advocates
for the complainant.

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2420/2016 and Crl. M.A. No. 12782/2016 (stay)**

SAPNA AEREN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Siddharth Bhatli, Mr. Honey
Khanna and Mr. Rakshit Shrivastava,
Advocates.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms. Kamna Vohra, Additional
Standing Counsel for State with
Inspector Sanjeev Dhodi, EOW.
Mr. Vivek Kohli, Ms. Purna Kohli
and Ms. Yeshi Rinchhen, Advocates
for the complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

02.04.2018

1. After some arguments learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave
to withdraw the petitions as charge sheet has since been filed by the

CRL.M.C. 676/2017 & W.P.(CRL) 2420/2016

Page 1 of 2

15

investigating agency with liberty to challenge in case an order framing charge is passed against the petitioners.

2. Leave and liberty granted.

3. Petitions and applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Ms. Vasundhara, Advocate appearing on behalf of Ms. Shradha Karol, Advocate submits that vakalatnama of Ms. Shradha Karol had been filed in the present case however, this is not her case.

5. A perusal of the vakalatnama filed by Ms. Shradha Karol, Advocate reveals that Crl. M.C. No. 676/2017 has been wrongly noted in the vakalatnama for the reason the vakalatnama pertains to another case titled as Utpala Mukherjee & Anr. vs. State.

6. Ms. Shradha Karol, Advocate is permitted to withdraw the vakalatnama filed in this petition and file the same in the proper petition.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 02, 2018

'vn'