18

$~R-25 & 27-32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P. (C) 11374/2009

SURYA FOOD & AGRO LTD. .....Petitioner

Through Dr. Shashwat Bajpai and Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents

Through None

And

\+ W.P. (C) 13891/2009

ACCESS EQUITY PVT. LTD. .....Petitioner

Through None

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC for UOI

And

\+ W.P. (C) 14147/2009

TELEVISION EIGHTEEN INDIA LTD. .....Petitioner

Through Mr. Mayank Nagi, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC for UOI

And

\+ W.P. (C) 14188/2009

BIRD TRAVELS (P) LTD. .....Petitioner

Through Mr. Mayank Nagi, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents

Through Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC for UOI

19

\+ W.P. (C) 804/2010

IBN 18 BROADCAST LIMITED .....Petitioner
Through Mr. Mayank Nagi, Advocate
versus
UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC for UOI

And

\+ W.P. (C) 818/2010

NETWORK 18 MEDIA & INVESTMENTS LTD. .....Petitioner
Through Mr. Mayank Nagi, Advocate
versus
UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondent
Through Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC for UOI

And

\+ W.P. (C) 3116/2010

RHC HOLDING PVT. LTD. & ANR. .....Petitioner
Through Mr. Mayank Nagi, Advocate
versus
UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

% **30.08.2018**

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that the issue raised in the present writ petitions is now covered by the judgment of the Supreme Court in ***Commissioner of Income Tax, 5 Mumbai vs. Essar Teleholdings Ltd.*** (2018) 401 ITR 445 (SC).

It is further stated that Rule 8D of the Income Tax Rules, 1962 has been interpreted by the Supreme Court in ***Godrez & Boyce Manufacturing***

Co. Ltd. vs. Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax and Another, (2017) 394 ITR 449 (SC).

In view of the aforesaid decisions, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to raise all the contentions and issues before the Assessing Officer, and if required and necessary in the appellate proceedings..

Taking the statement on record, we dispose of the present writ petitions without any order of costs. We clarify that this Court has not examined the specific facts and has not given any specific direction and opinion.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

AUGUST 30, 2018

b