

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 90/2012**

STATE

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Hirein Sharma, APP and
Mr. Sanjeev Mahajan,
Advocate for complainant.

versus

RAVI KUMAR @ RAVI SHARMA @ DAN BHADUR

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER
24.07.2018

%

1 Mr. Sanjeev Mahajan, Advocate appears for the complainant who was permitted to intervene in the matter.

2. The Court is informed that the Respondent has been absconding for a considerable period of time. It is stated that he is most likely in Nepal. In that circumstances, the Court sees no purpose in proceeding with this appeal.

3. The appeal is disposed of with liberty to the State to revive it as soon as the Respondent is taken into custody.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

VINOD GOEL, J.

JULY 24, 2018/*"sandeep/sk"*