

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 136/2018

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Advs.

Versus

ISHWAR SINGH (DECEASED) THR LRS & ANR.... Respondents

Through: Ms. Mrinalini Sen Gupta & Ms.
Nihaarika Jauhari, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **03.08.2018**

1. This appeal under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 impugns the judgment [dated 28th March, 2018 in LAC No.699/2016 of the Court of Additional District Judge, Rohini] in a Reference under Section 18 of the Act with respect to acquisition of land of the respondent no.1 in village Shahbad, Daulatpur.
2. The counsel for the appellant states that the Reference Court has determined the compensation following *Hem Chander Malik Vs. Union of India* 2011 SCC OnLine Del 4111. It is also stated that Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.26987/2012 preferred to the Supreme Court against *Hem Chander Malik* supra of this Court was dismissed on 30th October, 2015. It is thus stated that there is no merit in this appeal and it be dismissed.
3. On enquiry as to why this appeal was preferred if there was no merit therein, it is stated that the counsel for the appellant, while filing this appeal, was not aware of the order dated 30th October, 2015 of the Supreme Court.
4. In view of the aforesaid, the delay of 35 days in preferring the appeal is condoned and the appeal is dismissed.
5. No costs.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

AUGUST 03, 2018/‘gsr’..