

\$~R-2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 34/2012**
SABBIR

..... Appellant
Through Mr.S.B.Dandapani, Amicus Curaie.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent
Through Mr.Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER
% **09.01.2018**

The nominal roll of the appellant reflects that the appellant after having completed his sentence had been released from Jail on 19.11.2014.

Record shows that the appellant was a convict under Section 307 of the IPC. He had been sentenced to undergo RI for 5 years and to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- in default to undergo SI for a period of one month.

Mr.S.B.Dandapani, Advocate present in Court has been appointed as an Amicus Curiae. He has assisted the Court. He be paid professional charges as per rules.

Learned Amicus Curiae points out that the appellant has been falsely implicated in the present case; this was a case of rivalry.

Record shows that PW-1 was the injured witness. He has supported the contents of the FIR. His statement was corroborated by

the version of the second eye-witness namely PW-2. Ex.PW-3/A is the MLC of the injured which has described the injury suffered by the victim. The injuries were by knife and the knife has also been recovered. The judgment calls for no interference. However, noting the fact that the appellant having suffered his sentence and has since been released from jail, this appeal has become infructuous. It is disposed of accordingly.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

JANUARY 09, 2018

ndn