

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% *Date of Judgment: 06.04.2018*

+ **W.P.(C) 7352/2016 & CM APPL. 30213-30214/2016**

GAUTAM MEHTA, DIRECTOR M/S MEHTA OFFSET PVT LTD
..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Puneet Saini, Advocate.

versus

BIKRAM SINGH AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Krishan Kumar Pandey,
Advocate for R-1.

Ms.Deboshree Mukherjee, Advocate for
Mr.Anuj Aggarwal, ASC for GNCTD for R-
2 & R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

VINOD GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has challenged the impugned order dated 07.04.2016 passed by the Controlling Authority under sub-section (4) of Section 7 of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 despite the effective alternative remedy available under sub-section (7) of Section 7 of the said Act. Similar writ petition was dismissed by this court in the case of **Thai Airways International Public Company Ltd. Vs. Gurvinder Singh, 2018 LLR 390.**

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that he does not press the writ petition and would avail of the alternative remedy as available under the law for which liberty may be granted.
3. In these circumstances, the writ petition and pending applications are dismissed as withdrawn with such liberty.

APRIL 06, 2018
"shailendra"

(VINOD GOEL)
JUDGE