

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2364/2018**

SAURAV KUMAR BAID Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjib Dutta & Mr. Anil K.
Pandey, Advocates

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANOTHER Respondents

Through: Mr. Jamal Akhtar, Advocate for State
Mr. Mohit Gupta, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

30.08.2018

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order imposing costs of Rs. 30,000/- on account of delay in making payments to the respondent is erroneous because there is no delay in the said payment. The Court would note that the said money has been directed to be paid as litigation costs and not towards condonation of delay.

The respondent No. 2/complainant is identified by the Investigating Officer. She has no counsel. Mr. Mohit Gupta, Advocate, is appointed as her counsel for the day. Rs. 30,000/- has been paid to respondent No. 2 through her counsel. The amount of Rs. 10,000/-, as directed to be paid, in case of delay, is waived.

The petition stands disposed-off.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

AUGUST 30, 2018/rd