

\$~CP-61 to 65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CO.PET. 715/2016
ROOPAM TANEJA Petitioner

versus

INTERNATIONAL RECREATION AND
AMUSEMENT LIMITED (IRAL) & ORS. Respondents

+ CO.PET. 726/2016
RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA Petitioner

versus

INTERNATIONAL RECREATION AND
AMUSEMENT LIMITED (IRAL) & ORS. Respondents

+ CO.PET. 729/2016
PROMILA TANEJA Petitioner

versus

INTERNATIONAL RECREATION AND
AMUSEMENT LIMITED (IRAL) & ORS. Respondents

+ CO.PET. 825/2016
MR. AJIT KUMAR Petitioner

versus

INTERNATIONAL RECREATION AND
AMUSEMENT LIMITED (IRAL) & ORS. Respondents

+ CO.PET. 978/2016
VIHANS ARORA & ORS. Petitioners

versus

INTERNATIONAL RECREATION AND
AMUSEMENT LIMITED (IRAL) & ORS. Respondents

Present: Mr.Sushant Kumar, Mr.Anuj Midrdha, Mr.Madhup Gupta and
Mr. Ashish Kasana, Advs. for the petitioners in I-61 to 63 & 65
Ms.Ananya Pandey and Mr. Deepesh Sharma, Adv. for the petitioner
in Co.Pet.No.825/2016.
Mr. Deepak Kumar, Adv. for IRP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

%

ORDER
31.08.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that they have already filed a claim before the Insolvency Resolution Professional. Hence, they would like to withdraw the present petition.

However, they seek liberty to revive this petition, if an eventuality arises.

Granting leave and liberty, the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

A copy of the order be given under the signatures of the Court Master.

JAYANT NATH, J

AUGUST 31, 2018
rb