

\$~4, 5 & 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7733/2018, C.M. Appl. No. 29620-29621/2018

DR. RAMJEE SINGH Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

+ W.P.(C) 7736/2018, C.M. Appl. No. 29632-29633/2018

DR. ARUN BHASME Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

+ W.P.(C) 7759/2018, C.M. Appl. No. 29782-29783/2018

DR. BHOSALE RAVINDRA NAMDEO AND ORS..... Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Counsel for the petitioner:

Mr. Yusuf Khan, Advocate

Counsel for the respondent:

Mr.Ashim Sood, CGSC, with Mr.Aditya Kumar,
Advocate for respondent No. 1 and 2 in Item 4&5
Mr.Vikas Mahajan, CGSC for respondent – UOI
in Item 6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

13.08.2018

It is stated that the ordinance that was impugned by these proceedings has now been enacted by the Parliament, though the assent is awaited. In these circumstances, this court is of the opinion

that these petitions are rendered infructuous. However, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved, he would be at liberty to approach the court in the light of the subsequent developments.

In view of the aforesaid, the writ petitions are disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

AUGUST 13, 2018
pkb