

\$~103

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1659/2018 and CrI. M.A. 12808/2018**

JYOTI VOHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abinash Kumar Mishra, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI AT NEW DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP for the State with
SI Poonam, PS Geeta Colony

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

18.07.2018

In the first information report (no.203/2018) lodged on 03.07.2018 by the prosecutrix allegations have been made of offences punishable under Section 342, 376, 506 IPC having been committed on 24.12.2015, with role attributed to the petitioner - she being described as Aarti Vohra (the learned Additional Public Prosecutor clarifying that the prosecutrix has confirmed that the person she refers as Aarti Vohra is same as the petitioner Jyoti Vohra). In the said FIR, it is alleged that the husband of the petitioner had taken certain indecent photographs which were sent on social media through her sister and other relatives with threats extended simultaneously. The Additional Public Prosecutor confirms that during investigation the sister of the prosecutrix was examined but her version is that she

had deleted the images which were received by her and thus such material is not available. The names of relatives to whom such images may have been sent have not been specified, though in some statements, reference is made to *Bhabhi* (sister-in-law), whose version is yet to be recorded. The Additional Public Prosecutor further states that the prosecutrix has shared her mobile phone instrument where, in the photo gallery, there are some images of her in semi-nude state but with no clarity as to the source of such material.

In the given facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. It is directed that in the event of the petitioner being arrested, she shall be released on bail by the arresting officer on furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount subject to the following further conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall continue cooperating with the investigation and join the same as and when called upon to do so;
- (ii) The petitioner shall not come in contact with or try to influence any of the witnesses connected to the case;
- (iii) Prior to his release, she shall give the telephone numbers of self and of at least one other responsible family member besides that of the surety to the investigating officer;
- (iv) She shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;
- (v). She shall not leave India without the prior permission of the

court of cognizance or the trial court, as the case may be, and to ensure due compliance with this condition and shall deposit his passport, if he holds one, with the said court; and

(vi) This order will inure only till the date of first appearance of the petitioner in the event of a charge-sheet being filed on conclusion of the investigation and process being issued against him by the court of cognizance.

The bail application and the application filed therewith are disposed of accordingly.

Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

R.K.GAUBA, J

JULY 18, 2018

yg