

35# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2044/2018

RAMEEZ @ RAMISH AHMED

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Anurag Jain and Ms.
Ayushi Sharma, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Srilina Roy, Advocate for
Ms. Nandita Rao, Additional
Standing Counsel for State with
SI Ichha Ram, PS Tilak Marg.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

02.08.2018

%

1. Reply affidavit has been filed by the Deputy Secretary, Home explaining the reasons for delay in disposing of the application of the petitioner dated 24th May, 2018. It is stated that the application was sent to the Jail on 1st June, 2018 and the application was thereafter returned with necessary documents only on 12th July, 2018 resulting in the delay in deciding the application.

2. Be that as it may, the application of the petitioner has since been decided and rejected, the reason being the unsatisfactory conduct of the petitioner in jail.

3. A status report has also been filed. As per the status report the family of the petitioner comprising of his four brothers was found residing at Village Mohalla Kajitola, Kasba Narauli, Tehsil Chandosi, Dist. Sambhal,

W.P.(CRL) 2044/2018

Page 1 of 2

U.P. where it was revealed that the petitioner is a permanent resident of the said address and his four brothers are residing there. Father of the petitioner has since passed away.

4. As per the nominal roll, the petitioner has been in continuous custody since 3rd December, 2014. During the course of trial he was granted bail and as on today the unexpired portion of the sentence of the petitioner is five years three months and ten day.

5. Considering that the petitioner has roots in the society and earlier also he was granted interim bail by this Court during the pendency of the appeal, which concessions he did not misuse, this Court deems it fit to grant parole to the petitioner for filing special leave petition. It is, therefore, directed that the petitioner be released on parole for a period of four weeks from the date of his release on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

6. Petition is disposed of.

7. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 02, 2018

‘vn’