$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ ITA 143/2017

THE PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX -4..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Ruchir Bhatia, Advocate

versus

HOTLINE GLASS LTD. ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sudhir K. Makkar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Meenakshi Singh & Mr. Abhishek Chaudhary, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

O R D E R

% **08.10.2018**

Learned counsel for the appellant/Revenue states that the tax effect in the present appeal is below Rs.50,00,000/- and hence, in terms of circular No.3/2018 dated 11.7.2018, the Revenue would not press the present appeal. However, it should be clarified that the issue/question raised is left open and is not decided.

Taking the statement on record, the appeal is disposed of as not pressed. We also clarify that we have not examined the issue/question raised, which is left open.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

OCTOBER 08, 2018
tp