

\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2006/2018**

BHUPINDER KAUR

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. S.S. Randhawa, Advocate.**

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjay Lao, ASC for State with
Ms. Hemlata Rawat, Advocate with
SI Sandeep, PS-North Rohini.
Mr. Vikram Aggarwal, Advocate for
R-2 with respondent no. 2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

10.08.2018

The petitioner has agreed to pay an amount of Rs. 3.25 lacs to the complainant without admitting any liability or relief sought. The claim of the complainant is Rs. 7.50 lacs which was debited from her bank account by way of a fraudulent transaction. The signatures on the cheque against the said debit have been examined forensically and have been found to be forged. The learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State submits that the complainant's husband is involved in some other cases of fraud. He is absconding in the case and is suspected of having forged the signatures. Be that as it may, insofar as the complainant states that she is not willing to pursue her case any further as Rs. 3.25 lacs agreed to be paid to her. Let it be so done. The result of the FIR would depend upon evidence being collected

and on the merits of the case.

The petitioner's bank account which has been frozen shall be de-frozed only for the purpose of paying the complainant Rs. 3.25 lacs. The complainant is present in Court and has been identified by her counsel as well as the Investigating Officer. Her counsel states upon instructions that she would not like to pursue the matter further after the said amount of Rs. 3.25 lacs are paid to her. The bank account will be frozen, if it is so required. The rights of the petitioner to pursue her case are always be available to her.

The petition is disposed-off in the above terms.

A copy of the order be given *dasti* to the learned counsel for the parties under the signature of the Court Master.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

AUGUST 10, 2018

RW