

\$~18

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1725/2015**

**MOHD.ARIF ANSARI** ..... Petitioner  
Through: **Mr. S.D. Ansari, Advocate**

versus

**AAS MOHAMMAD** ..... Respondent  
Through: **Mr. Mohd. Sajid, Adv.**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA**

**ORDER**

%

**20.12.2018**

**Crl.M.A. 50445-46/2018**

After some hearing, the counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that he may be permitted to withdraw these applications as the petitioner reserves the right to raise the contentions set out in the petition as defences during the trial, his only apprehension being that the observations made in the revisional court's order may not be treated as binding. It is trite that the observations which were made in the impugned order by the revisional court in the context of consideration of the case of the respondent against the petitioner were for purposes of examining as to whether charge is made out or not. Such observations cannot be treated as clinching, final or binding.

The applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

**R.K.GAUBA, J.**

**DECEMBER 20, 2018/nk**