

\$~10 & 11

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 2191/2017

SUKHJINDER SINGH Petitioner
Through : Mr. Avtar Singh, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR Respondents
Through : Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC
for R-1&2.

+ W.P.(C) 2893/2017

MANJINDER SINGH Petitioner
Through : Mr. Avtar Singh, Adv.

versus

UOI AND ANR Respondents
Through : Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC
for R-1&2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER
02.02.2018

%

1. It is not disputed by Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC that the issue raised in the present petition is covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this court dated 12.1.2018, passed in bunch of petitions, the lead petition being: *WP(C)No13/2016* titled *Union of India & Anr. vs. Satnam Singh*
2. The issue involved in this case, is that, whether the petitioner, who had sought political asylum in the past, was entitled

5


to issuance of passport by the Government of India.

3. In view of the decision of this court in the aforementioned matter, this writ petition would have to be allowed.

4. It is ordered accordingly.

5. I may note that Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC has indicated me that respondents are in the process of examining the judgment and taking a decision as to whether or not special leave petition is to be filed qua the aforementioned judgment.

6. Needless to say, if a special leave petition is filed, the order passed in the said petition will govern the fate of this case as well, unless it is distinguishable on facts.



RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

FEBRUARY 02, 2018

mk