

\$~48 to 50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7085/2018 & CM No.26930/2018

STATE BANK OF INDIA Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Vats, Advocate

versus

SAGDEV SHARMA AND ORS. Respondents.

Through

WITH

+ W.P.(C) 7086/2018 & CM No.26931/2018

STATE BANK OF INDIA Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Vats, Advocate

versus

DHARAMBIR SINGH & ANR Respondents

Through

WITH

+ W.P.(C) 7090/2018 & CM No.26933/2018

STATE BANK OF INDIA Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Vats, Advocate

versus

SATYADEV SHARMA & ORS Respondents

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA


HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER**% 11.07.2018**

While arguing the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner accepts that the impugned order is appealable.

In view of the said position, we are not inclined to entertain the present writ petitions and give liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to appellate remedy.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are not entertained, without expressing any opinion on merits. The petitions are disposed of. All the pending applications are also disposed of.


SANJIV KHANNA, J
CHANDER SHEKHAR, J**JULY 11, 2018****tp****A.S.**