

\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

BAIL APPLN. 1408/2018

SONU KHAN @ AHTASHAMUDDIN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Randhir Lal and Ms. Amrita
Chatterjee, Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP for
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

15.06.2018

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure on behalf of the petitioner in FIR No. 129/2018 registered under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Mansarovar Park.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the allegations made against the petitioner is false, frivolous and baseless. He further contended that neither there is any specific allegation against the petitioner nor any specific role has been assigned to the petitioner in the present FIR. He further contended that he was a mere employee working as State Co-ordinator in the NGO namely Humanity Welfare Council run and managed by the main accused Surya Pratap Singh. He further contended that he neither executed the document nor received any cash from the complainant and no evidence whatsoever has been adduced by the Investigating Officer against him. He further contended that the petitioner is a person of the clean antecedent and has never been involved in any

criminal activity. He further contended that the incident occurred in the year 2017 and the FIR has been lodged in the year 2018 from which it transpires that the same is fabricated with an intention to cause harassment of the petitioner.

3. Learned APP for the State contended that in terms of order dated 13th June, 2018, Status Report has been filed. He further contended that the present petitioner has been named in the FIR and a specific role has been assigned to the petitioner by the complainant in his complaint. He further contended that the main accused has been apprehended and he has also named the present petitioner as the main conspirator.
4. From the perusal of the record, it transpires that an amount of Rs.1,10,00,000/- has been taken by the accused persons including the petitioner from the complainant on the garb of providing employment to the children in the Railways under Sports quota. Several documents have been forged by the accused persons namely medical letter, appointment letter etc which stood verified by DRM, Northern Western Railway that they are forged documents.
5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, there is no merit in the present application and the same is dismissed.

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

JUNE 15, 2018

rd