

7
\$~49 to 52, 63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 124/2018 & CM Nos.25182-83/2018**

PUNJ LLOYD LTD

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Vivek Jain & Mr. Parth
Kaushik, Advocates

versus

HOUSING & INFRASTRUCTURE BOARD & ORS..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritin Rai, Mr. Aabhas Kshetrapal
& Ms. Kritika Bhardwaj, Advocates
for respondent No.3
Mr. Sumit Nagpal, Advocate for IDBI
Bank

WITH

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 125/2018 & CM Nos.25184-85/2018**

PUNJ LLOYD LTD

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Vivek Jain & Mr. Parth
Kaushik, Advocates

versus

HOUSING & INFRASTRUCTURE BOARD & ORS..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritin Rai, Mr. Aabhas Kshetrapal
& Ms. Kritika Bhardwaj, Advocates
for respondent No.3

WITH

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 126/2018 & CM Nos.25186-87/2018**

PUNJ LLOYD LTD

..... Appellant

✓

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Vivek Jain & Mr. Parth
Kaushik, Advocates

versus

HOUSING & INFRASTRUCTURE BOARD & ORS..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritin Rai, Mr. Aabhas Kshetrapal
& Ms. Kritika Bhardwaj, Advocates
for respondent No.3

WITH

+ FAO(OS) (COMM) 128/2018 & CM Nos.25190-91/2018

PUNJ LLOYD LTD

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Vivek Jain & Mr. Parth
Kaushik, Advocates

versus

HOUSING & INFRASTRUCTURE BOARD & ORS..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritin Rai, Mr. Aabhas Kshetrapal
& Ms. Kritika Bhardwaj, Advocates
for respondent No.3

WITH

+ FAO(OS) (COMM) 127/2018 & CM Nos.25188-89/2018

PUNJ LLOYD LTD

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Vivek Jain & Mr. Parth
Kaushik, Advocates

versus

HOUSING & INFRASTRUCTURE BOARD & ORS..... Respondents

9

Through: Mr. Ritin Rai, Mr. Aabhas Kshetrapal
& Ms. Kritika Bhardwaj, Advocates
for respondent No.3
Mr. Sumit Nagpal, Advocate for IDBI
Bank

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR


ORDER

%

25.07.2018

Learned counsel for the appellant states that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeals, as the appellant has been advised to move an application before the learned Single Judge.

Recording the aforesaid, the appeals are dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed for. All the pending applications are dismissed as withdrawn. However, we clarify that we have not expressed any opinion or made any observations on the statement made by the appellant for moving an application before the learned Single Judge.


SANJIV KHANNA, J


CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

JULY 25, 2018

tp