

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 386/2016 & CrI. M.A. 8467/2016

RAJESH SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. Vikram Chaudhri, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Janender Kumar Chumbak, Mr.
Raktim Gogoi, Mr. Harshit Sethi, Mr.
K. Singh and Mr. R.P. Saini and Mr.
Rishi Sehgal, Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE

..... Respondent

Through

Ms. Maninder Acharya, Addl Solicitor
General with Mr. Satish Agrawal,
Standing Counsel for DRI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

01.06.2018

1. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the trial court in terms of Section 216 of Cr. P.C. for alteration of charge.
2. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
3. However, it is clarified that this Court has neither examined nor opined on the maintainability of an application under Section 216 of the Cr.P.C. for alteration of charge or the merits thereof. Trial court is at liberty to consider the same in accordance with law.

JUNE 01, 2018/'rs'

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J