

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
*Date of Order: May 31, 2018*  
+ **W.P.(C) 6283/2018 & CM No. 24227/2018**

GULZAR MD. & ORS. ....Petitioners  
Through: Mr. P K Sinha and Ms. Ritu Gaur,  
Advocates  
versus  
BRAILLE LIBRARY & ORS. ....Respondents  
Through: Mr. Mohinder J S Rupal and Mr.  
Prang Newmai, Advocates

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR**  
**ORDER**  
**(ORAL)**

1. Petitioners are differently abled who were aggrieved by treatment meted out to them in the Braille Library and in this regard, they had submitted a Representation (Annexure P-6) on 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 which was followed by a reminder (Annexure P-8) on 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2018.
2. According to petitioners' counsel, there is no proper response to the said Representation. Though, a challenge is laid to transfer order of 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2017, vide which second petitioner has been transferred from Braille Library to the University Librarian Office, but this Court is informed by petitioners' counsel that in pursuance of transfer order of 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2017, second petitioner has joined in University Librarian Office. However, petitioners' counsel submits that the impugned transfer order is by an incompetent authority. If it is so, then second petitioner is at liberty to make a Representation to seek revocation of the transfer order and if any such Representation is received, then the competent authority of Respondents shall deal with it within a period of four weeks.

The concerned respondent/competent authority shall give an effective response to Representation (*Annexure P-6*) and its fate be made known to second petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

3. With the aforesaid directions, this petition and the application stand disposed of.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsel for the parties.

**(SUNIL GAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**MAY 31, 2018**

p