

3
§-2 & 11

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

11. W.P.(C) 6518/2018 & CM Nos. 24926/2018 & 43254/2018

AMIT RAI AND ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr Rajeev Ranjan Pandey, Advocate.
versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Vikram Jetly, CGSC for UOI.
AND

11.

+ W.P.(C) 6472/2018 & CM Nos. 24867/2018 & 43256/2018

SUMITA SIRKER

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Rajeev Ranjan Pandey, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Vikram Jetly, CGSC for UOI.

CORAM:


HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

29.10.2018

1. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to challenge the constitutional *vires* of Section 164 of the Companies Act, 2013.
2. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The pending application is disposed of.


VIBHU BAKHRU, J

OCTOBER 29, 2018/MK