

3# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1028/2016**

YOGESH SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP for State
with W/ASI Jyoti, PS Inder
Puri.

Mr. N.K. Jain, Advocate for the
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

11.04.2018

%

1. By the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 1793/2015 under Sections 406/498A/34 IPC registered at PS Rajouri Garden, New Delhi on the complaint of Seema.

2. The allegations of the complainant in the FIR in question are that she was married to the petitioner on 15th April, 2014 and her parents gave ₹5 lakhs in cash in various ceremonies, ₹3.5 lakhs in cash to purchase the car apart from other household articles costing ₹4 lakhs and jewellery, clothes, bags etc. Even the jewellery given by the in-laws was in possession of the husband and parents in law. She stated that she lived happily in the matrimonial home for a week but thereafter nuisance started on one pretext or the other and demands were made for money for growing the business of the petitioner, that is, the husband of the complainant. Since parents of the

BAIL APPLN. 1028/2016

Page 1 of 2

complainant were not able to fulfil the unjustified, illegal and fresh demands of the dowry, petitioner started beating her and she was made to do the entire work. Though she tried to make calls to the police earlier however, she was forbidden to do so on the pretext that she will be thrown out of the house. She was also threatened that the petitioner would re-marry in case the demands were not fulfilled.

3. During the pendency of the investigation, petitioner has joined the investigation and without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties has deposited a sum of ₹3 lakhs with the Registrar General of this Court. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and shown his bona fide and as per the learned APP for the State, charge sheet has since been filed, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned/learned Trial Court, further subject to the condition that in case of change of residential address of the petitioner, the same will be intimated to the Court concerned by way of an affidavit.

4. Registrar General is directed to keep ₹3 lakhs so deposited by the petitioner in a fixed deposit which will be subject to the final outcome of the trial or any settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

APRIL 11, 2018/‘vn’