

\$~1, 2 and 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 338/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. A.C. Mishra, Adv

versus

SWASTIK SERVICES Respondent

Through: None.

+ **ARB.P. 389/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES Petitioner

Through: Mr. A.C. Mishra, Adv

versus

PUSSANT MANAGEMENT SERVICES Respondent

Through: None.

+ **ARB.P. 391/2016**

TATA TELESERVICES LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. A.C. Mishra, Adv

versus

GAUTAM ENGINEERING Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **19.09.2018**

1. Mr. A.C. Mishra, who, appears for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the captioned petitions.
2. The order of the learned Joint Registrar shows that a statement has been made to that effect by the Authorised Representative of the petitioner.
3. Accordingly, as prayed, the captioned petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.


RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2018

c