

\$~49

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

*Date of Decision: 30.05.2018*

+ **W.P.(C) No.6096/2018**

SANOFI INDIA LTD. AND ANR. .... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj with Ms. Aparna  
Sinha, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. .... Respondent

Through: Ms. Maninder Acharya, ASG  
with Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC, Mr.  
Prateek, Mr. Harshul Choudhary, Mr. Sahil  
Sood and Mr. Viplav Acharya, Advs. for  
UOI.

**CORAM:-**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER**

%

**RAJIV SHAKDHER, J. (ORAL)**

**CM Appl. No.23598/2018**

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

**W.P.(C) No.6096/2018 & CM Appl. No.23597/2018**

2. Issue notice.

3. Mr. Kirtiman Singh accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. With the consent of the counsel for the parties, writ petition is taken up for hearing and final disposal.

5. I am informed that the petitioner has filed two review petitions against the impugned notifications dated 23.11.2017 and 28.12.2017.

6. It is not disputed before me by Ms. Acharya, learned ASG, that the review petitions are being heard by the respondents.

7. To be noted, the two demand notices issued pursuant to the said aforesaid notifications are under challenge in the present writ petition.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, counsel for the parties are agreed that the writ petition can be disposed of based on the following terms:

(i) The official respondents will not take any coercive measures pending the disposal of the review petitions *qua* which hearing has already been granted.

(ii) In case the determination in the review petitions is against the interest of the petitioner, it will be enforced one week thereafter.

(iii) A copy of the decision taken in the review petitions will be served on the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, pending application shall stand closed.

**RAJIV SHAKDHER, J**

**May 30, 2018**/pmc