

\$~99

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **LA.APP. 388/2015**  
**RAM GIRI** ..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Mohit Kumar Sharma & Mr.  
Kartik Rai, Advs.

Versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ANR** ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,  
Advs. for UOI.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW**

**ORDER**

%

**05.09.2018**

1. This appeal under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 impugns the judgment and decree in a Reference under Section 18 of the Act and seeks further enhancement in compensation.
2. The appeal was admitted for hearing and ordered to be listed in the category of "Regulars".
3. The counsel for the respondent no.1 Union of India (UOI) on request of this Court to furnish particulars of 'covered' matters pending consideration, furnished the particulars and the Registry was directed to list the said matters in the cause list in the category of 'After Notice Miscellaneous Matters'. The Court Master was also directed to telephonically inform the Advocates for the private parties of the matters so ordered to be listed.
4. The appeal is listed today in terms of above.
5. The counsel for the appellant appears.

6. The counsel for the respondent no.1 UOI states that this matter pertains to land acquired in village Mundka, compensation with respect to which was determined vide award No.02/1999-2000.

7. The counsel for the appellant as well as the counsel for the respondent no.1 UOI state that compensation with respect to other land acquired vide the same notification and award has been determined in ***Jamna Vs. Union of India*** 2011 SCC OnLine Del 2767.

7. On enquiry, it is stated that compensation was enhanced by this Court.

8. Accordingly, this appeal is allowed in terms of ***Jamna*** supra and appellant shall be entitled to same compensation as awarded in ***Jamna*** supra.

No costs.

Decree sheet be drawn up.

Trial court record, if requisitioned, be returned.

**RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J**

**SEPTEMBER 05, 2018**

‘gsr’..