

\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

ARB.P. 401/2018

PANKAJ MITTAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bhupesh Narula with Mr. Rinku
Dhingra Narula and Ms. Prachi
Prakash, Advs

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. J.K. Singh, Standing Counsel
Railways with Mr. Harsh Pandit,
Adv and Mr. Sandeep Chawla,
Office Supreritendent and Mr. Vinod
Kumar, Senior Clerk

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

09.08.2018

1. Mr. J.K. Singh, who, appears for the respondent has returned with Instructions.
2. Learned counsel says that Mr. Vinod Kumar Bahamani (Mobile No.8527811411), who, is on the panel maintained by the respondent can be appointed as an Arbitrator in the matter.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner says that he has also taken instructions, *albeit*, from Mr. Pankaj Mittal (i.e., his client) and that he has no objection to Mr. Vinod Kumar Bahamani being appointed as an Arbitrator in the matter.

4. It is ordered accordingly.
5. Counsel for the parties are also agreed that the seat of the arbitration will be Delhi.
6. Learned Arbitrator will enter upon reference on or before 30.09.2018.
7. The Arbitrator will issue a notice in this behalf in writing to the parties.
8. Needless to say, both the parties will have right to put forth their claims and counter claims *albeit* in accordance with law.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AUGUST 09, 2018

c