

\$~67

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 5408/2018

BHARAT TECHNOLOGY

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Dev.P.Bhardwaj with Mr.Anubha
Bhardwaj and Mr.Kanishik Arora, Advs.

versus

ALL INDIA COUNCIL FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION

..... Respondent

Through Mr.Anil Soni, S.C with Ms.Priyanka
Singh and Mr.Abhinav Tyagi, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

23.05.2018

Vide the present petition, the petitioner/institute, has impugned the respondent's order dated 10.04.2018, whereby it has been put in the 'no admission' status by the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a running institute since 2006, and the respondent has acted in a most arbitrary manner and has passed the impugned order without giving adequate opportunity to the petitioner to show that no deficiencies exist in the petitioner/institute.

At this stage, Mr.Anil Soni, learned counsel who appears on advance notice for the respondent, while denying the assertion of the learned counsel for the petitioner that, there are no deficiencies in the petitioner/institute submits that, in order to give further opportunity to the petitioner to show that there are no deficiencies, the respondent is willing to treat the present petition as a representation and pass a

reasoned and speaking order thereon. He assures the Court that before deciding the petitioner's representation, an opportunity of hearing will also be given to the petitioner by the Standing Appellate Committee (SAC), within a period of 1 week. He submits that the date, time and place for the said hearing will be communicated to the petitioner through its counsel.

Mr.Soni further submits that petitioner would also be at liberty to file any additional documents, within one day for being considered by the SAC. It is agreed between the parties that additional documents, if any, would be handed over by the petitioner to the learned counsel for the respondent, who will then place the same before the SAC.

In view of the fair stand taken by learned counsel for the respondent, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present petition any further.

The petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

Needless to say, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondent, it will be open to it to take legal recourse as permissible under law.

Dasti under the signature of Court Master.

REKHA PALLI, J

MAY 23, 2018

sr