

29# \$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1568/2018**

**RIFAQAT ALI @ BHULLU & ORS**

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate with  
petitioners in person.

versus

**STATE & ANR**

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan,  
Additional Standing Counsel  
for State, Ms. Jyoti Babbar,  
Advocate with SI Naeem Ali  
and SI Shailendra K. Singh, PS  
Gokulpuri.  
Respondent No.2 in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

%

**18.05.2018**

**Crl. M.A. No. 9625/2018 (Exemption)**

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**W.P.(CRL) 1568/2018**

By the present petition, petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.90/2018 under Sections 323/341/308/34 IPC registered at PS Gokul Puri, Delhi on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that in the above-noted FIR the three

**W.P.(CRL) 1568/2018**

***Page 1 of 3***

petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No. 2 the complainant/victim.

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer. He states that he has settled the matter with the petitioners vide Compromise Deed dated 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2018, copy whereof is annexed as Annexure-P2 to the present petition, as the parties are neighbours. In terms of the settlement he does not wish to pursue the above-noted FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

The petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties vide Compromise Deed dated 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 and have also apologised to the respondent No.2. Petitioners also assure that they will not indulge in any such misbehaviour in future and to show remorse the petitioners undertake to deposit some costs also.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No.90/2018 under Sections 323/341/308/34 IPC registered at PS Gokul Puri, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed subject to the petitioners depositing a cost of ₹5,000/- each with the Delhi High Court Staff Welfare Fund within two weeks.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

**MUKTA GUPTA, J.**

**MAY 18, 2018**

**‘vn’**