

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ BAIL APPLN. 1147/2018 & CRL.M.A. 9555/2018
SMT SANTOSH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Adv.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)Respondent
Through: Mr. Kewal Singh Ahuja, APP for
State

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA

ORDER

% **01.06.2018**

The applicant seeks grant of anticipatory bail submitting to the effect that the charge-sheet has been filed against the son of the petitioner with the applicant only being the mother-in-law of the deceased and that there are no allegations against her to bring her within the ambit of the culpability qua allegations in FIR No.68/2017, PS Kalyan Puri, under Sections 468A/304B/34. It has further been submitted on behalf of the applicant that the photographs of the applicant have been supplied to the Investigating Officer to bring forth the aspect that the applicant took care of the deceased as a daughter.

The status report has been submitted by the State. It has been submitted on behalf of the State and as indicated through the status report that the applicant has been absconding and that there are NBWs issued against him and that there is statement under Section 161 Cr.PC, 1973 categorically of the witnesses specifically specifying

the role of the mother-in-law and father-in-law apart from the spouse of the deceased in relation to the demand of dowry of Rs. 5 lakh to Rs. 10 lakhs, which was made approximately a week before the demise of the deceased. The said statements under Section 161 Cr.PC, 1973 are of witnesses that have been examined named Munni Devi, Pradeep and Sandeep.

In the circumstances of the case, there is no ground for grant of anticipatory bail.

The application is declined.

Copy of the order be given Dasti, as prayed.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

JUNE 01, 2018

vm