

\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5202/2018 & C.M. No.20179/2018**

DEEPAK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vijay Sansanwal, Adv.

versus

UOI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Prasanta Varma with Ms.Prativa
Varma & Ms.Shalu Goswami, Advs.
for R-1.
Mr.Atul Kumar, Adv. for R-2.
Mr.S.K. Mittal with Mr.Kshitij Mittal,
Advs. for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

% **18.05.2018**

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the petitioner is not directly affected by the action of the respondents and he therefore, may not have locus to challenge the same by way of a writ petition. He however, seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to take appropriate proceedings as permissible under law.

The petition and pending application are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for granted.

REKHA PALLI, J

MAY 18, 2018

gm