

28# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 865/2017

PANKAJ YADAV & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 3 in
person.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing
Counsel for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

05.09.2018

%

1. This is the fourth day when no steps have been taken for service of respondent No.2 for want of process fee.
2. Petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 3 are present in Court and state that they have not been able to find the whereabouts of the respondent No.2.
3. Petition is thus dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition seeking quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of settlement as and when the respondent No.2 is available and a settlement is arrived at between the parties.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 05, 2018

‘vn’