

\$~17 & 18

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Judgment delivered on: 16.07.2018

+ CRL.M.C. 2448/2018

ANISH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

versus

THE STATE (DELHI ADMN.) & ORS.

..... Respondents

+ CRL.M.C. 2464/2018

MOHD. MOHSIN & ORS.

..... Petitioners

versus

THE STATE (DELHI ADMN.) DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioners : Mr. Juned Alam, Advocate for petitioners in Crl.
M.C.2448/2018
Mohd. Tabrez, Advocate for petitioners in Crl..
M.C.2464/2018

For the Respondents : Ms.Neelam Sharma, APP for the State.
Mr.Juned Alam, Adv. for R-2 to R-4 in Crl.M.C.
2464/18
Mohd. Tabrez, Adv. for R-2 to R-5 in Crl. M.C.
2448/2018

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

%

ORDER
16.07.2018

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners in Crl. M.C. 2448/2018 seek quashing of FIR No.1185/2015, under Sections 323/341/308/506/34 IPC, Police Station Sarai Rohilla and petitioners in Crl. M.C. 2464/2018 seek quashing of FIR No.1184/2015, under Sections 323/341/308/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla.

2. Subject FIRs are cross FIRs. It is contended that the FIRs were registered on account of a quarrel, which took place between the parties, consequent to drinking of Alcohol.

3. The parties are neighbours. They have settled their disputes vide Settlement Deed dated 20.03.2018. Though the status report indicates that there are involvement of some of the petitioners in other proceedings, however it is noticed that the previous involvement is of several years ago and there does not seem to be anything on record to suggest that the petitioners were involved in any other case in the near past.

4. Parties are present in person in Court today, represented by their counsels. They undertake that they shall not quarrel in future and shall maintain law and order. They further submit that they do not wish to press the criminal complaints against each other any further.

5. In view of the fact that the disputes between the parties has been settled, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to

an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor. It would also be expedient to quash the subject FIRs and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom.

6. In view of the above, the petitions are allowed. FIR No.1185/2015, under Sections 323/341/308/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla and FIR No.1184/2015, under Sections 323/341/308/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are, accordingly quashed, subject to each of the petitioners depositing costs of Rs.5,000/- each with the *Delhi High Court Bar Association Employees Welfare Fund*, within a period of two weeks. The receipt of deposit of costs imposed by this order be furnished to the concerned I.O. within a period of three weeks from today.

7. Order Dasti under signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

JULY 16, 2018/km