

\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2495/2018**

AKHIL SINGH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vikram Panwar, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. G.M. Farooqui, Addl. PP for the
State with SI Raghuvver

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

10.05.2018

Crl. M.A. No. 8874/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 2495/2018

1. The petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 140 of 2013 under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of the IPC Police Station Bindapur, New Delhi, based on a settlement. It is contended that the FIR was lodged consequent to a matrimonial discord.

2. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their disputes and a Memorandum of Understanding dated 13.04.2018 has been executed. Respondent no. 1 is the husband of respondent no. 2-complainant. Petitioner no. 2 and 3 are the parents. Petitioner no. 4 and 5 are the brother and sister of petitioner no. 1. The parties have settled their disputes and respondent no. 2 has started residing with petitioner no.1-

husband.

3. Respondent no. 2 is present in court in person and is identified by the Investigating Officer. She submits that she has settled the dispute with the petitioners and is agreeable to the settlement and does not wish to press the criminal charges against the petitioners any further.

4. In view of the fact that the disputes between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 emanate out of a matrimonial discord and have been settled, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor. It would be expedient to quash the subject FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating there from.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed. FIR No. 140 of 2013 under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of the IPC Police Station Bindapur, New Delhi and the consequent proceedings there from are, accordingly quashed.

6. Order Dasti under signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

MAY 10, 2018
‘rs’