

\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1491/2018**

PARAMJEET SINGH & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Dhananjay Garg and Mr.Abhishek
Garg, Advocates for Mr.Sachet
Sharma, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for the
State with Ms.Jyoti Babbar, Advocate
with ASI Tejveer Singh, PS Shahdara
Mr.R.S.Juneja, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

14.05.2018

1. By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.405/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shahdara on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the six petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

3. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigation Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners vide compromise deed dated 7th December, 2017.

W.P.(CRL) 1491/2018

page 1 of 3

In terms of the settlement marriage between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the respondent No. 2, the petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹13,80,000/-to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹10,00,000/- and the balance amount of ₹3,80,000/- has been received by her today in Court vide Manager's Cheque No.000954 drawn on HDFC Bank. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. She undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Consequently, FIR No.405/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Shahdara and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MAY 14, 2018

mamta