

\$~13.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5220/2018

YOGESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sachin Chauhan, Advocate.

versus

GOVT. OF NCTD AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Mini Pushkarna, SC for GNCTD
with Ms. Swagata Bhuyan and Ms. Shiva Pandey,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

16.05.2018

CM APPL. 20225/2018 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions

W.P.(C) 5220/2018 and CM APPL. 20224/2018

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 03.04.2018 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal dismissing the O.A. filed by him for issuing directions to the respondents to consider his representation for promotion to the post of Foreman Instructor on the ground that the said petition is pre-mature and no DPC has been conducted so far for selecting the candidates as the case of the private respondents had yet to be considered.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner had submitted a detailed representation dated 14.03.2018 to the respondent No.2/Directorate of Training and Technical Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi against the order dated 16.2.2018 on the subject of promotion to the post of Foreman Instructor (Mechanical), wherein the names of the

W.P.(C) 5220/2018

respondents No.5 to 9 herein have been mentioned as being eligible candidates. He states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the said representation dated 14.03.2018, still pending at the end of the respondent No.2, is considered and decided in accordance with law within a reasonable time.

3. Ms. Pushkarna, learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2, who appears on an advance notice, states that she has received a complete set of the paper book.

4. Having regard to the limited prayer made by the petitioner herein, the present petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application with directions issued to the respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 14.03.2018 submitted by petitioner and pass a speaking order thereon under written intimation to him, within four weeks from today.

5. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondents, he shall be entitled to seek his remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

HIMA KOHLI, J

PRATIBHA RANI, J

MAY 16, 2018

na