

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Order: May 07, 2018

+ **W.P.(C) 4806/2018 & CM 18506/2018**

DEVENDRA PRASAD CHANDOLAPetitioner
Through: Mr. M.A. Niyazi and Ms. Anamika
Niyazi, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Advocate for
respondent No. 1-Directorate of Education
Ms. Shruti Munjal, Advocate for Mr. Ankur
Chhibber, Advocate for respondent No. 2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER
(ORAL)

1. In respect of the relief sought in this petition, Representations (*Annexure P-12 colly.*) were made by petitioner to respondents. According to the petitioner's counsel, there is no response to Representations (*Annexure P-12 colly.*) made by petitioner to respondents.

2. In the facts and circumstances of this case, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of this petition and application with permission to petitioner to make a fresh concise Representation to first respondent within two weeks from today. Upon receipt of such a Representation, first respondent shall

afford personal hearing to petitioner as well as to respondent-*School* and thereafter, give a speaking response to the Representation so made, within a period of twelve weeks and the fate of Representation be made known to petitioner within two weeks thereafter, so that petitioner may avail of the remedies as available in law, if need be. In case petitioner's Representation is accepted by respondent-*Directorate of Education*, then it will be open to respondent-*School* to have recourse to law.

3. With aforesaid directions, this petition and application are disposed of.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to learned counsel for the parties.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

MAY 07, 2018

s

भारतमेव जयते