

\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1397/2018**

PREET SINGH & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.Vineet Aggarwal,
Mr.R.S.Goswami, Mr.Shashank
Goswami, Mr.Sahil Munja and
Ms.Rhea Munjal, Advocates

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC with
Mr.Piyush Singhal, Advocate for the
State with SI Mitu Kumar, PS Geeta
Colony
Mr.S.S.Bedi, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **07.05.2018**

CrI.M.A.No.8479/2018

Allowed subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 1397/2018 & CrI.M.A.No8480/2018

1. By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.280/2013 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Geeta Colony, Delhi on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

W.P.(CRL) 1397/2018

page 1 of 3

2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the four petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

3. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners vide the Memorandum of Understanding dated 6th December, 2017. In terms of the settlement marriage between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the respondent No. 2, the petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹2.5 lakhs to respondent No.2 out of which she has already received a sum of ₹1.5 lakhs and the balance amount of ₹1 lakh has been received by her today in Court vide Demand Draft No. 633418 drawn on Canara Bank. She further states that she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. In terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. She undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings

pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Consequently, FIR No.280/2013 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Geeta Colony, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition and application are disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MAY 07, 2018

mamta