

\$~5

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 4828/2018

LOHIA WAREHOUSE PVT LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.A. Ansari, Mr. Khursheed
Ahmad, Advocates

versus

COMMISSIONER OF VAT & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Shadan Farasat, Additional Standing
Counsel with Mr. Ahmed Said, Hafsa Khan,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

04.07.2018

%

The Petitioner seeks direction for the refund of ₹33,84,194/- with *pendente lite* interest. It is contended that the Govt. of NCT of Delhi (GNCTD) is liable to refund the amount with effect from 30.05.2015 with interest on account of the excess DVAT amount lying with it. On the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the Respondents was directed to obtain instructions. Today, he submits that the Petitioner needs to furnish some documents, i.e., copies of Form 2A, Form 2B and bank statements. The Petitioner submits that, though copies of such documents, as claimed, have already been given earlier, it will be ensured that the same shall again be made available to the VATO. The Respondent – GNCTD shall ensure that the VATO passes appropriate orders and shall also ensure that the amounts together with interests is credited to the Petitioner's account within six weeks from today.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

JULY 04, 2018/pkb