

43# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1392/2018**

MANOJ KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Mayank Mikhail
Mukherjee, Advocate.**

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Ms. Jyoti Babbar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, Additional
Standing Counsel for State with
SI Abodh Sharma, PS Preet
Vihar.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

12.07.2018

%

1. By this petition the petitioner seeks parole on the ground that he has to file Special Leave Petition.
2. Application of the petitioner was rejected by the competent authority for the reason that one year period had not elapsed since the petitioner was in continuous custody. Petitioner was released on bail during the course of trial and on his conviction vide judgment dated 9th August, 2017, he surrendered to custody.
3. The appeal was also decided when the appellant was in custody. The period of continuous custody of the appellant even now is less than one year.

4. Since there is no error in the impugned order of the competent authority rejecting grant of parole as it is inconsonance with the guidelines laid down, this Court finds no ground to interfere with the impugned order.
5. Petition is dismissed.
6. Copy of the order be communicated to the petitioner through Superintendent, Tihar Jail.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 12, 2018
‘vn’