

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 222/2016 & CM Nos. 14621-22/2016, 21813/2016**

ANIS UMAR LACEWALA & ANR Petitioners
Through: Mr. Ankit Jain, Adv.

versus

SUMAIYA NAQI Respondent
Through: Mr. R.Y. Kalia, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER
% **15.03.2018**

After some hearing, the counsel for the respondent who was the petitioner before the Additional Rent Controller in the eviction case which was allowed by order dated 18.11.2015 after rejection of the application of the petitioners for leave to contest, on instructions, fairly concedes that triable issues arise and, therefore, the leave to contest may be granted and the revision petition may be allowed and the impugned order dated 18.11.2015 may be set aside with all consequential directions to follow this, of course, without prejudice to the contentions of both sides.

In view of the above, the petition and the applications filed therewith stand allowed. The impugned order is set aside. The leave to contest to the petitioners stands granted. The proceedings before the Additional Rent Controller consequentially stand revived. They shall be taken up by the Rent Controller for further proceedings in accordance with law on 16th

April, 2018 when the parties are directed to remain present. Needless to add, the petitioners shown as respondents in the eviction case will be obliged to submit the written statement on the afore-said date fixed for first appearance.

As requested by the counsel for the respondent, the case would require expeditious trial. The learned counsel for the petitioner herein assures that he would fully cooperate with the expeditious trial.

The learned Additional Rent Controller shall make all endeavour to ensure that the case reaches the logical conclusion at an early date.

Dasti.

R.K.GAUBA, J

MARCH 15, 2018

nk