

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4903/2018

NIRANJAN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajit Rajput, Advocate along with
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Anjum Javed, ASC along with
Mr. Devendra Kumar, Mr. Faran
Ahmed and Ms. Priti, Advocates with
SI Bharat Lal, P.S.Hauz Khas for
respondent Nos. 1 & 3/GNCTD.
Mr. G.D. Mishra, Standing Counsel
for respondent No. 2/SDMC.
Mr. Varun Goswami and Mr. Sahil
Agarwal, Advocates for respondent
Nos. 4 & 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

%

11.09.2018

After lengthy arguments, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, limits the relief to a direction to the South Delhi Municipal Corporation (for short 'SDMC'), to take action against the respondent Nos. 4 & 5, who, in his view, have obtained a sanctioned plan (hereinafter referred to as 'subject sanctioned plan'), in relation to the subject property bearing No. 85, Khasra No. 73, Yusuf Sarai, New Delhi, allegedly by furnishing forged and fabricated documents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the SDMC, invites the attention of this Court to the status report filed on their behalf to urge that, pursuant to receiving the complaint from the petitioner, in this behalf, they have issued notices to the respondent Nos. 4 & 5, to show cause, as to why, the subject sanctioned plan be not revoked.

In view of the foregoing, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the SDMC to determine the show cause notices, issued to the respondent Nos. 4 & 5, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

No further directions are called for in the present petition.

With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2018

RS