

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4609/2018 & C.M.Nos.17791-792/2018.

HARKIRAT KAUR THROUGH FATHER MALKEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Khagesh B.Jha, Adv.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondent

Through Mr.Ramesh Singh, S.C. and
Mr.Gautam Narayan, ASC for
GNCTD.

Mr.Ram Chandraram, OSD, DOE,
Zone-17, Distt-West.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

02.05.2018

Vide the present petition, the petitioner has *inter alia* sought a direction to respondent no.1 to ensure admission of the petitioner in respondent no.2/school with all other entitlements as permissible under the rules.

Mr. Ramesh Singh and Mr.Gautam Narayan, who appear on behalf of the respondent no.1 have, at the outset, contended that the petition is infructuous. They have handed over a copy of a letter dated 01.05.2018, written by the respondent no.2/school to the Deputy Director of Education, Zone-17, Distt-West-B, New Delhi, wherein it has been categorically stated that even though all the seats

under the EWS quota have already been filled, the school, on humanitarian grounds is willing to admit the petitioner in class Pre-Primary by creating an extra seat beyond the prescribed quota.

A copy of the aforesaid letter has been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner, who still insists that notice be issued to the respondent no.2 as he apprehends that respondent no.2 may not abide by the undertaking given by them in the letter.

In my view, the apprehension of the petitioner is wholly misconceived, since the said letter has been addressed to respondent no.1 and it is for the respondent no.1 to ensure that admission is granted to the petitioner in respondent no.2.

Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 assures the Court that subject to the petitioner submitting all the requisite documents and verification of the same, the petitioner would be granted admission in the respondent no.2 within three days from today.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondent no.1, I see no reason to entertain the present petition any further.

The petition alongwith pending applications stands disposed of in the above terms.

REKHA PALLI, J

MAY 02, 2018

sr