

\$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

32

+

SUKESH SANGWAN

W.P.(C) 3377/2016

..... Petitioner

Through: Dr. Vijendra Mahndiyan and Ms
Pallavi Awasthi, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Ms Bharathi Raju, CGSC for UOI

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

13.11.2018

%

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that he is not pressing clause (c) of the prayer since he has been paid the HRA for the period from 5th May, 2013 to 2nd September, 2014 while he was working in the CISF Unit, Trivandrum.

2. As regards the period from 4th December, 2015 till 18th May, 2017, when he was in the CISF Unit at IGI Airport, New Delhi, the position is that no accommodation was made available to him. In light of the judgments of this Court in *Jaspal Singh Mann v. Union of India (2009) ILR 1 Delhi 165* and *Anand Kumar v. Union of India* [decision dated 30th August, 2017 in W.P.(C) 6720/2016], the petitioner is entitled to succeed in his prayer for grant of HRA for this period. The HRA will be paid to the Petitioner within a period of eight weeks from today, failing which the Petitioner will be

entitled to interest @ 9% per annum for the period beyond the
aforementioned date. Any payment made towards family accommodation
allowance shall be adjusted.

3. The writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

SANJEEV NARULA, J.

NOVEMBER 13, 2018
rd