

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Order: May 02, 2018

+ **W.P.(C) 4622/2018 & CM 17840/2018**

SAGAR PRATAP SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. S.S. Chaudhary and Mr.
Sanjay Verma and Mr. Vijay Kukreja,
Advocates

versus

DISTRICT AND SESSION JUDGE TIS HAZARI (HQS) AND
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajshekhar Rao and Mr.
Chaitanya Puri, Advocates for respondent No.1
Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, ASC with Mr. Shwetank
Singh and Ms. Urvi Mohan, Advocates for
respondent-DHC

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER
(ORAL)

1. An examination was conducted by Office of the District & Sessions Judge (HQ), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for recruitment to the post of Junior Judicial Assistant (Group 'C') and petitioner had participated in the said examination and upon being unsuccessful, by way of this petition, petitioner has now challenged the result of the said examination declared on 24th March, 2018. Allegations of malpractice/unfair means have been levelled. The basis of this writ petition appears to be a rumour

that the question-paper was leaked through the employees of the Delhi District Courts and one coaching centre in Sonapat, Haryana.

2. By way of this petition, an inquiry is sought in respect of the examination in question with an alternate prayer that the said examination be re-conducted. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that a Representation (*Annexure P-9*) was made to this Court on 2nd April, 2018, with a copy to the District & Sessions Judge (HQ), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and the fate of the said Representation is yet not known.

3. In the facts and circumstances of this case, it is deemed appropriate that instead of entertaining this petition on merits, to dispose of this petition with permission to petitioner to now make a fresh and detailed Representation to first respondent within a period of two weeks from today. Upon receipt of such a Representation, first respondent shall consider it and give a speaking response thereto, within a period of twelve weeks and convey its fate to petitioner within a week thereafter, so that petitioner may avail of the remedies as available in law, if need be.

4. With aforesaid directions, this petition and the application are disposed of.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsel for the parties.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

MAY 02, 2018

s